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The past 3 years have seen rapid and significant shifts in how storage products approach capacity management. New archiving and backup products are now achieving massive levels of capacity efficiencies, far beyond any technology in history. To date, the industry has not collectively agreed on a common descriptor for this extremely critical enabling technology, and therein rests the purpose of this report. We call this new technology capacity optimization (CO), and the various storage solutions stemming from this technology are termed capacity optimized storage (COS.)

Unlike traditional techniques that may provide 2 times compression benefits, CO techniques can reduce the storage requirements of content by several magnitudes, in some cases, delivering in excess of 20 times compression. CO technologies are seeing adoption today primarily in disk-based data storage and enterprise networking industries, in which the benefits of reduced capacity and network utilization are most beneficial. CO will play a fundamental role in storage system architecture throughout this decade, and its principles therefore merit detailed analysis.

What is capacity optimization?

CO is a new technology designed to massively reduce data to its raw essentials. Unlike traditional compression, which typically reduces data to half of its previous size, capacity optimization can reduce standard business data down to a twentieth or less of its original size. This is achieved by breaking the data into a small number of fundamental parts that, when replicated, can be used to rebuild the original data. This technique is being used both in storage devices and also networking devices to build much more cost-effective systems. For example, a storage device that utilizes CO can store 20x as much data as a standard one at the same cost. A CO network can transmit 20x as much data as a nonoptimized one again all for the same price. This order of magnitude cost reduction in CO technologies vs. standard ones is ensuring that all future system designs will at some point implement this technology. Around 10 companies today are shipping products that use CO technologies, and much of the continued development being done on the fundamental algorithms are tuning them for performance and scalability now that the basic principles of operation are well understood.
A lexicon for capacity optimization

In order to discuss compression and CO, it’s useful to define some simple terms that will be used throughout this report:

- **Object** – A data container such as a file or network transmission
- **Part** – A piece of an object containing a fixed or variable amount of data
- **Plan** – A plan that shows how to assemble *parts* into an object
- **Optimized store** – A location for storing *parts* and *plans*

**CO vs. compression**

Regular compression examines the data in an object for repeating patterns or extended runs of the same data. For example, an object that contains a thousand zero’s one after the other could be represented by two numbers **1000 0**, rather than a thousand separate elements. These two numbers could then be stored or transmitted over a network much more efficiently than the one thousand individual zeros. Likewise, the pattern **123412341234123412341234** could be stored as the five numbers **6 1 2 3 4** (six times one, two, three, four) rather than the 24 original digits (almost a five-fold compression).

**Capacity optimization explained**

At a basic level, CO works in a very similar manner to regular compression technologies. **Objects** are broken down into **parts** of either fixed or variable sizes. A **plan** is constructed to show how to build the **parts** back into the original **object**. The **parts** are then compared to see which are unique. Any non-unique **part** is discarded, resulting in immediate compression. The unique **parts** and the **plan** showing how to reassemble them back into the original **object** are then stored.
By analogy, consider a house made out of children’s building blocks, as illustrated below.

1. We begin with a house created from blocks, and then we break the house down, ending up with many blocks that take up quite a lot of space. Importantly, we maintain a blueprint, or plan, showing how to rebuild the house. This “house plan” takes up very little space. (2) Because many of the blocks are exact copies of each other, we can always make more as long as we keep at least one of them. So we discard any block that isn’t unique and keep the plan and the unique blocks. By doing this, we have everything we need to rebuild the house at any time (3).

This analogy demonstrates the first principle by which CO technologies approach the storing of blocks of data. Because they maintain maximally granular plans for all objects, they only need
one instance of any given object. Most importantly, CO technologies only increase their efficiency the more they are used for storing content. This is true because over time, a CO system is introduced to new objects, breaking those objects down into parts while maintaining all new plans in an optimized store. Before the new parts are added to an optimized store, those parts are compared with all of the other parts already located in the store. Any parts already stored, even if from other objects stored earlier, are discarded. Then, any remaining unique parts are inserted into the optimized store along with their corresponding plan. Over time, the optimized store contains a plan for literally every object stored, along with all of the necessary parts to rebuild them. The concept of the optimized store finally achieves compression efficiencies of over 20x in real world environments for typical data objects.

For a clarifying example, we can return to our illustration with a new scenario:
After breaking the house (from the example above) down to its blocks, we decided to build a train out of those same blocks, but we have to introduce one new type of yellow block to be included for the train wheels. Along with our block train, we have a "train plan" for how to build it. When we break the train down, we keep the plan and a single copy of each type of block. We then discard all the blocks except the new yellow train wheel block and our plan. Recall that we can do this, because we already had a copy of the others stored from our earlier breaking down of the house, and the plan will let us access however many of them we need. Now we only have to add the new “train wheel” block and one new “train plan” to the optimized store in order to be able to recreate the whole train.

Through this analogy, we can see how CO technologies achieve increasingly higher levels of efficiency as more objects are added. This is possible, because we have an optimized store of the minimum number of unique parts and plans required to assemble those parts back into their original objects.

This is precisely the technological approach being utilized by various companies in the data storage and networking industries today to achieve maximal efficiencies in the way content is stored or transmitted on a network.

**Capacity optimized storage (COS)**

The primary emerging market utilizing CO today is the data protection marketplace. In this market, corporate data has traditionally been stored on tape rather than disk. Although disk is widely recognized to keep data in a manner that is safer and more accessible than tape, until now it had been too expensive to use for longer-term data protection, due to cost differentials making tape as tape about a twentieth the cost of disk storage solutions. By utilizing CO, a new generation of solutions is able to provide a massive savings over regular disk-based storage, bringing them into price equivalence with more traditional tape solutions.

This savings occurs because when data is migrated to the capacity optimized solutions, only the changed and unique new data (typically only 5% of the data stored) needs to be stored on the disks.

**Capacity optimized transport (COT)**

New solutions in networking are also utilizing capacity optimization. Traditionally transferring data over the wide area is a slow and costly business. The network links connecting geographically disparate sites have only a tenth or less of the bandwidth of their local counterparts. Also the latency inherent in the dominant network protocols also ensures the
transfer speeds are often limited well below even this lower level of bandwidth. As a result, there is a need for optimizing traffic flowing over the wide-area network links.

In this scenario, CO network transport devices sit at either end of a wide-area network link, each maintaining an optimized store. When new network traffic (the object) arrives destined to be sent over the link, the device examines the traffic for parts already transmitted (these are kept in its local optimized store). Only the new unique parts of the traffic are sent over the link along with a plan of how to reassemble the parts back into the original traffic. At the other end of the link, the second CO device receives and stores the new unique parts in its optimized store and then reassembles the original traffic using the transmitted parts and other parts sent earlier that it pulls from its store are directed by the received plan. This reassembled traffic is identical to the original that would have been transmitted over the link, and is sent onto its final destination. As only the new parts from the traffic were transmitted, the bandwidth used on the network link is dramatically reduced overall. As with all CO solutions, as more data is sent over the link, the level of efficiency will increase and more parts are accumulated in the optimized stores at either end of the link.

**CO players today and tomorrow**

Today, we see CO technologies in a range of emerging companies. They all leverage unique intellectual property, but they achieve single-instance storage or transport through the principles of CO. In the disk backup and archiving realm, this list includes Archivas, Avamar, Data Domain, DataCenter Technologies, RockSoft, and Permabit. Among established players, HP’s RISS solution also utilizes CO technology for disk archiving. In the areas of network transport, we see this technology in use by companies in the WAN optimization space, including Peribit and Riverbed. Over the course of the next 2 years, CO technologies will become a ubiquitous feature in the arsenals of established players for both storage and networking solutions, either through acquisition of smaller companies or internal development efforts. In short, CO is going to happen. Quite simply, there is no other way for enterprises or individuals to deal with the massive data deluge than to pursue these technologies to such ends, and all vendors will have to respond.
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